

Virtual Microscopy as an Effective Tool for Oral Pathology Teaching at Undergraduate Level



Muhammad Irshad¹

BDS, PhD

Ismail Alam Khan²

BDS, MPH, MHPE

Amna Safaraz³

BDS, MPhil

Sahibzadi Fatima Tariq⁴

BDS, MPhil

Hina Shabir⁵

BDS, MPhil

Sahd Rashid⁶

BDS, MDS

OBJECTIVE: Is to report the usefulness of virtual microscopy in oral pathology teaching at undergraduate level.

METHODOLOGY: A cross sectional study was done at Rehman College of Dentistry, Peshawar. After a verbal informed consent 40 students of third year Bachelor of Dentistry who were present on day of data collection were included. Universal sampling technique, where all the students viewed conventional glass slides on first day followed by virtual slides of the same pathological lesions on webscope software of University of Leeds on second day. A structured questionnaire using a Likert scale was implemented. GraphPad Prism version 6.04 for Windows was used to analyse the data. Student's t-test was used to compare the differences in responses.

RESULTS: There was a significant difference (p value <.05) between the pre and post group. Clarity, navigation, scanning, and magnification of slides, improved the overall learning of the students as compared to traditional method along with time efficiency.

CONCLUSIONS: Virtual microscopy represents an effective tool for teaching histopathology of oral pathological lesions at undergraduate level.

KEYWORDS: Digital microscopy, conventional microscopy, virtual microscopy, undergraduate students, oral pathology.

HOW TO CITE: Irshad M, Khan IA, Sarfaraz A, Tariq SF, Shabir H, Rashid S. Virtual microscopy as an effective tool for oral pathology teaching at undergraduate level. J Pak Dent Assoc 2024;33(1):7-9.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.25301/JPDA.331.7>

Received: 03 November 2021, **Accepted:** 04 April 2024

INTRODUCTION

Conventional teaching methods have been in use for centuries. Although, these are time-tested and effective methods, the current global pandemic has led us to explore newer and more convenient options for teaching. Most teaching institutions have shifted towards online teaching due to the current pandemic which has given us an opportunity to search for teaching strategies better suited for online teaching.

Microscopes have been in use for studying pathological slides for more than 200 years.¹ Very little advancements

have been made since then to improve the quality of teaching microscopic slides. The process of archiving, moving and replacing glass microscopic slides is cumbersome and has many limitations. Conventional microscopy pose many challenges to students as well as teachers and these have been well documented earlier.² Therefore, many international educational institutes have shifted from conventional to virtual microscopy.³

A virtual slide is a digitally captured glass slide that can be viewed and zoomed on a computer screen. These are usually high-quality images captured with advanced technology which can be zoomed considerably without loss of quality. Using virtual microscopy can simplify the whole process of teaching histopathology by eliminating the need for most equipment and materials used to prepare and view slides on conventional microscopes.² This in turn can decrease the overall cost of teaching pathological slides. In addition, virtual slides are useful for teaching large groups of students, teaching online and eliminates the variability associated with

1. Associate Professor, Department of Oral Pathology, Rehman College of Dentistry.

2. Assistant Professor, Department of Medical Education, Rehman College of Dentistry.

3. Assistant Professor, Department of Oral Pathology, Shifa College of Dentistry.

4. Senior Demonstrator, Department of Oral Pathology, Rehman College of Dentistry.

5. Assistant Professor, Department of Oral Pathology, Rehman College of Dentistry.

6. Assistant Professor, Department of Oral Medicine, Shifa College of Dentistry.

Corresponding author: "Dr. Muhammad Irshad" <Muhammad.irshad@rmi.edu.pk>

glass slides while maintaining high quality.^{2,4} Moreover, virtual microscopy is a better tool for online assessments of pathological slides.⁵

The effectiveness of virtual microscopy in teaching pathological slides has already been documented elsewhere.⁶ To the best of our knowledge, such an evaluation has not been carried out in Pakistan. We hypothesized that virtual slides would enhance students' interest in learning the histopathological features and enhance their understanding. Therefore, the purpose of this study was to assess the effectiveness of virtual slides in teaching histopathology of oral pathological lesions at undergraduate level.

METHODOLOGY

This cross-sectional study was carried out at the department of oral pathology, Rehman College of Dentistry, Peshawar, Pakistan in February 2020. Verbal informed consent was obtained from all the participants and the study protocol was approved from the institutional ethical committee. Conventional glass slides (n = 10) of various pathological lesions that are taught in our practical oral pathology course, were used for the study. In total, forty 40 out of 50 enrolled 3rd year Bachelor of Dental Surgery (BDS) students who were present on the day of data collection, participated in the study. The virtual pathology slide library of the University Leeds was used for the purpose of evaluation. It is an open source, free for all slide library which can be accessed online at; <http://www.virtualpathology.leeds.ac.uk/slides/>.

All students first viewed the conventional glass microscope slides under the light microscope at 10X and 20X magnifications. The students received instructions about the histological features of the slides from three teachers. The students were asked to fill a structured questionnaire at the end of the session. On the second day, the same students viewed virtual slides of the same pathological lesions on the "webscope" software of the University of Leeds virtual slide library and were supervised by the same teachers. The students were able to zoom in and zoom out the virtual slides according to their preference.

At the end of the session participants filled a structured questionnaire consisting of Likert scale with (5 = strongly agree; 4 = agree; 3 = neutral; 2 = disagree; 1 = strongly disagree), and comparison was made between conventional glass microscopy and virtual microscopy. Student's t-test was used to compare the differences in responses to each question. GraphPad Prism version 6.04 for Windows (GraphPad Software, La Jolla California USA) was used to analyse the data.

RESULTS

Out of 50, 40 3rd year dental students were included in the study. The students reported significantly better performance (p = 0.01) with virtual microscopy compared to conventional glass microscopy. Use of virtual microscopy needed significantly less time in selecting, navigating and focusing as compared to conventional microscopy. Moreover, the accessibility, scanning and magnification was found to be much easier in case of virtual microscopy as compared to conventional glass microscopy. Students had better understanding of histopathological features using virtual microscopy as they were able to focus and navigate slides with ease as compared to manual focussing of conventional microscopy (Table 1).

Table 1: Comparison of use of Conventional and virtual microscopy

Question	Conventional Microscopy	Virtual Microscopy	P value
I used time more efficiently	2.2 (± 0.7)	4.3 (± 0.5)	0.03*
Instructions for use were clearer	4.1 (± 1.4)	4.5 (± 1.8)	0.4
Microscopic images were clearer	2.6 (± 0.6)	4.2 (± 0.4)	0.02*
Slide navigation was easier	1.3 (± 1.1)	4.6 (± 0.8)	0.001*
My efficiency of learning pathological features was better	2.5 (± 1.2)	4.4 (± 0.5)	0.02*
Accessibility to microscopy was better and more convenient	3.5 (± 0.9)	4.2 (± 0.6)	0.3
Selecting a slide was easier	1.1 (± 1.7)	5.0 (± 0)	0.001*
Scanning a slide was easier	2.2 (± 0.6)	4.1 (± 0.7)	0.04*
Changing magnification was easier	2.3 (± 0.5)	4.8 (± 0.6)	0.03*
Adjusting focus was easier	2.5 (± 0.4)	4.5 (± 0.8)	0.01*
I got more motivation to study pathological slides	2.6 (± 0.8)	4.6 (± 0.7)	0.01*
Cumulative score	2.5 (± 0.8)	4.5 (± 0.3)	0.01*

DISCUSSION

Teaching of histopathological features of oral lesions at undergraduate level is a challenging job. Textbooks are a good source of knowledge however certain aspects can only be covered by microscopic study of the histopathological slides.⁶ Recently, the switching of teaching process from a conventional microscope to a virtual microscope has shown an increased motivation of undergraduate students, significant improvement in understanding of histopathological features and have increased the standard of teaching methodology.⁴

The present study results have shown increased efficiency of the virtual microscope as compared to the conventional glass microscope. Students have shown a drastic interest in friendly handling, selecting focusing and navigation of a certain lesion oral pathology lesion. Apart from usage, their identification and understanding towards a lesion's histopathological structures have greatly increased their learning skills and thus, making them more motivated towards their subject.

Results of the present study were in line with previous reports^{4,5,6,8,11} where students have shown increased inclination towards the virtual microscope instead of light microscope because of its easy handling, efficiency and accessibility. The digital microscope does not require any kind of readjustments, refocusing and redirection as needed by a light microscope. Moreover, the increased effectiveness of virtual microscopy is partly due to ease of accessing slide just on a single click from a computer or a cell phone. The available digital slides are usually scanned using high quality equipment, therefore, the image resolution and contrast are much better compared to glass microscopy. This enhances the students' ability to visualize histopathological features much better.⁵ Virtual microscopy is much less technique sensitive compared to conventional microscopy.

Additionally, virtual slides can be visualized by multiples students at the same time.¹⁴ Teaching virtual slides are much more convenient and less time consuming for the instructor since more than one student can be engaged at the same time and understanding is easier due to collaborative learning.⁵ According to Rosas C. et.al.¹³ some of the students still prefer traditional teaching methodology for learning histopathological features and are therefore, not receptive to the transition from a traditional teaching methodology to a digital one. However, virtual microscopy has the potential to raise teaching standards provided that enough computer and information technology support is available in the institute.⁵

CONCLUSION

We conclude that oral pathology teaching and learning with virtual microscopy is more efficient compared to conventional glass microscopy.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

Nil

REFERENCES

1. Somera dos Santos F, Osako MK, Perdoná GD, Alves MG, Sales KU. Virtual microscopy as a learning tool in Brazilian medical education. *Anatomical Sciences Education*. 2021;14:408-16. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ase.2072>
2. Rani RV, Manjunath BC, Bajpai M, Sharma R, Gupta P, Bhargava A. Virtual microscopy: The future of pathological diagnostics, dental education, and telepathology. *Indian J Dent Sci*. 2021;13:283-8. https://doi.org/10.4103/IJDS.IJDS_194_20
3. Congress A. Quantitative Image Analysis for Tissue Biomarkers use: A White Paper From the Digital Pathology Association.2022

4. Lakhtakia R. Virtual microscopy in undergraduate pathology education: an early transformative experience in clinical reasoning. *Sultan Qaboos University Med J*. 2021;21:428. <https://doi.org/10.18295/squmj.4.2021.009>
5. Rodrigues-Fernandes CI, Speight PM, Khurram SA, Araújo AL, Perez DE, Fonseca FP, Lopes MA, de Almeida OP, Vargas PA, Santos-Silva AR. The use of digital microscopy as a teaching method for human pathology: a systematic review. *Virchows Archiv*. 2020 ;477:475-86. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00428-020-02908-3>
6. Chaudhari P, Gupta S, Srivastav S, Sanker V, Medarametla GD, Pandey A, Agarwal Y. Digital Versus Conventional Teaching of Surgical Pathology: A Comparative Study. *Cureus*. 2023;15. <https://doi.org/10.7759/cureus.45747>
7. Sharmin N, Chow AK, Dong AS. A Comparison Between Virtual and Conventional Microscopes in Health Science Education. *Canadian Journal of Learning and Technology*. 2023;49(2):1-20. <https://doi.org/10.21432/cjlt28270>
8. Blake CA, Lavoie HA, Millette CF. Teaching medical histology at the University of South Carolina School of Medicine: transition to virtual slides and virtual microscopes. *Anat Rec*. 2003;275:196-206. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ar.b.10037>
9. Hortsch M, Koney NK, Oommen AM, Yohannan DG, Li Y, de Melo Leite AC, Girão-Carmona VC. Virtual microscopy goes global: the images are virtual and the problems are real. In *Biomedical Visualisation: Volume 16-Digital Visualisation in Biomedical Education 2023 Aug 1*;79-124 https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-30379-1_5
10. Francis DV, Charles AS, Jacob TM, Ruban A, Premkumar PS, Rabi S. Virtual microscopy as a teaching-learning tool for histology in a competency-based medical curriculum. *Med J Armed Forces India*. 2023;79:S156-64. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mjafi.2022.02.002>
11. Ali SA, Syed S. Teaching and Learning Strategies of Oral Histology Among Dental Students. *Int J Morphology*. 2020;38. <https://doi.org/10.4067/S0717-95022020000300634>
12. Kruse AB, Isailov-Schöchl M, Giesler M, Ratka-Krüger P. Which digital learning strategies do undergraduate dentistry students favor? A questionnaire survey at a German university. *GMS J Med Educ*. 2023;40.
13. Tauber Z, Lichnovska R, Erdosova B, Zizka R, Cizkova K. Teaching Histology in the Age of Virtual Microscopy and E-resources: Is a Tailored Approach to Domestic and International Students Warranted?. *Interdisciplinary J Virtual Learning in Med Sci*. 2021;12:97-105.
14. Brierley DJ, Speight PM, Hunter KD, Farthing P. Using virtual microscopy to deliver an integrated oral pathology course for undergraduate dental students. *British Dent J*. 2017;223:115 <https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.bdj.2017.626>